

Contemporary Islamic Legal Debates on Egg Freezing (Oocyte Cryopreservation): A Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah Perspective

Hudzaifah Achmad Qotadah¹, Syamsul Anwar², Yusdani³, Adang Darmawan Achmad⁴, Masithoh⁵, M. Muamar⁶, Syafwendi Syafril⁷

^{1,3}Universitas Islam Indonesia Yogyakarta, ²Universitas Islam Negeri Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta,

⁴Universitas Muhammadiyah Cirebon, ⁵Institut Agama Islam Muhammad Azim Jambi, ⁶Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, ⁷UIN Raden Intan Lampung

[*hudzaifahachmad47@gmail.com](mailto:hudzaifahachmad47@gmail.com)

ARTICLE INFO

Article history

Received June 15, 2026

Revised July 29, 2026

Accepted August 7, 2026

Keywords: Islamic Law, Reproductive Ethics, Egg Freezing, Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah

ABSTRACT

Oocyte cryopreservation (egg freezing) is ethically and legally contested in Muslim-majority societies, where reproductive decisions are embedded in Islamic normative frameworks. Prior Islamic bioethical scholarship has disproportionately addressed embryo-based technologies such as IVF, leaving oocyte cryopreservation underexamined and lacking systematic cross-institutional comparison. This article addresses that gap through a maqāṣid al-sharī'ah framework linking the technological-practical, evaluative, and normative-institutional dimensions of the debate, drawing on fatwas and classical fiqh positions from the International Islamic Fiqh Academy (IIFA), the Islamic Religious Council of Singapore (MUIS), and national fatwa committees in Indonesia and Malaysia. Employing a qualitative, library-based design combining comparative legal and thematic content analysis, the study evaluates egg freezing against the objectives of preserving lineage (ḥifẓ al-nasl), life (ḥifẓ al-nafs), and human dignity (karāmah al-insān). Findings reveal an emerging consensus permitting medically indicated egg freezing within a valid marriage, contested positions on social egg freezing due to concerns over lineage ambiguity and marital continuity, and near-universal prohibition of post-divorce or post-mortem use. The study proposes a tiered maqāṣid-based classification of egg freezing practices, arguing that this framework offers the most coherent normative basis for contemporary ijtihād on reproductive technologies, and recommends adaptive Islamic legal governance alongside further empirical and interdisciplinary research..

1. INTRODUCTION

Egg freezing (oocyte cryopreservation) has become one of the most significant developments in assisted reproductive technology, evolving from a medical safeguard for women undergoing gonadotoxic treatments such as chemotherapy into a broader socio-reproductive practice enabling fertility preservation for personal, professional, or economic reasons. The advancement of vitrification techniques has improved oocyte survival rates and consolidated egg freezing as a symbol of reproductive empowerment in many societies (Mutmainnah & Hasim, 2024; Cascante et al., 2022). Yet in Muslim-majority contexts, reproductive decisions are not individualized in the secular sense but are embedded within a normative architecture governed by principles such as the preservation of lineage (ḥifẓ al-nasl), marital chastity, and human dignity (Dewi & Raditya, 2025; Shafiqoh et al., 2025). These jurisprudential tensions are further shaped by sociocultural dynamics, as reproductive decisions among Muslim women are also influenced by prevailing marriage norms and structural factors such as economic instability or extended education that delay childbearing (Susilo et al., 2025; Inayatillah et al., 2025; Ahmed et al., 2022). Together, these dimensions raise pressing jurisprudential questions concerning the legal status of frozen gametes, the implications of posthumous or post-divorce reproduction, and the limits of reproductive autonomy within Islamic

law (Chin et al., 2023). Islamic scholarly institutions, including the International Islamic Fiqh Academy (IIFA), the Indonesian Council of Ulama (MUI), Malaysia's National Fatwa Committee, and Singapore's MUIS, have issued guidelines on related procedures such as IVF, gamete donation, surrogacy, and embryo cryopreservation (Nur et al., 2022; Chin & Saifuddeen, 2022). These positions generally permit medically assisted reproduction within a valid marriage while cautioning against practices that risk lineage ambiguity or ethical uncertainty (Chamsi-Pasha & Albar, 2015). However, systematic juristic treatment specific to oocyte cryopreservation remains underdeveloped. Existing fatwas are largely extrapolated by analogy from embryo-freezing rulings, without fully addressing features unique to egg freezing, namely the absence of fertilization prior to storage and its distinctly female-centered nature (Nur et al., 2022). This gap is compounded by unresolved questions of nasab when frozen oocytes are used after divorce or a husband's death, and by uncertainty over whether a marital csectioncontract remains legally operative at the point of delayed fertilization (Nur et al., 2022; Chin et al., 2023; Chin & Saifuddeen, 2022). Within Islamic bioethical scholarship more broadly, attention has been disproportionately directed toward embryo-based technologies such as IVF and PGD, leaving oocyte cryopreservation comparatively underexamined despite its distinct legal and ethical features. This has produced inconsistent juristic positions: some scholars adopt precautionary restriction (sadd al-dharī'ah) (Arif et al., 2024), while others argue for conditional permissibility grounded in necessity (darūrah) and public interest (maṣlaḥah) (Bakence et al., 2025), reflecting the need for a more coherent analytical framework (Rizkiyana, 2023).

A closer review of recent scholarship confirms this fragmentation while pointing toward a shared methodological orientation. Saniei and Kargar (2021) extend the analysis to the broader landscape of Islamic bioethics, demonstrating that Muslim juristic institutions have generally adopted a cautious yet adaptive stance guided by darūrah and raf' al-ḍarar, though their macro-level perspective does not address the specific juristic issues unique to oocyte cryopreservation. Ahmad et al. (2021), focusing on the Malaysian oncofertility context, establish a clear institutional precedent for medically indicated egg freezing grounded in ḥifẓ al-nafs, though their scope is deliberately confined to cancer patients and does not address elective or social applications. More targeted contributions come from Nur, Laelatul'zah, and Marpuah (2022), who develop a gender-responsive reproductive fiqh within the Indonesian context, arguing for conditional permissibility premised on marital legitimacy, lineage protection, and the prevention of commercial exploitation of women's reproductive capacity. Chin and Saifuddeen (2022) offer the most jurisprudentially refined treatment of social egg freezing, developing a contextually adaptive legal typology distinguishing makrūh taḥrīmī from makrūh tanzīhī tailored to the socio-religious circumstances of Muslim minorities in Singapore. Chin, Muhsin, and Ahmad (2023) shift focus to ovarian tissue freezing as a potentially more sharī'ah-compliant alternative, evaluating it through qawā'id fiqhiyyah and the maṣlaḥah-mafsadah calculus, though without resolving the specific debates surrounding oocyte cryopreservation itself. Rizkiyana (2023) provides one of the few studies applying maqāṣid al-sharī'ah directly to egg freezing as its primary analytical lens, arguing for conditional permissibility within a valid marital context, while Susilo, Santoso, and Ambarwati (2025) examine how collective ijtihād can resolve lineage issues arising from egg freezing, affirming the maqāṣid framework as a sufficient basis for contextually sensitive rulings. Three convergent patterns emerge from this body of recent literature. First, medical egg freezing within a valid marital relationship is broadly accepted as compatible with Islamic legal principles, anchored in the preservation of life and harm prevention. Second, social egg freezing remains deeply contested, with scholars diverging on questions of niyyah, lineage integrity, and the relevance of structural socio-economic factors to conditional permissibility. Third, and most critically, no existing study provides a systematic cross-institutional comparative analysis mapping how major juristic bodies, including the IIFA, MUI, MUIS, and the Malaysian National Fatwa Committee, have individually engaged with oocyte cryopreservation as a

legally distinct reproductive technology. This absence of a comparative, cross-institutional mapping constitutes the central novelty this article seeks to address.

These convergent and divergent findings can be organized within a conceptual framework in which *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah* functions as the normative lens linking three interrelated dimensions (Ibn Ashur, 2006; Auda, 2008): a technological-practical dimension, distinguishing medically indicated from socially or elective motivated egg freezing; a *maqāṣid* evaluative dimension, applying *ḥifẓ al-nasl*, *ḥifẓ al-nafs*, *ḍarūrah*, and *maṣlaḥah* as the criteria against which each form is assessed (Al-Bar & Chamsi-Pasha, 2015; Auda, 2008); and a normative-institutional dimension, through which these evaluations are translated by fatwa-issuing bodies (IIFA, MUI, MUIS, and Malaysia's National Fatwa Committee) into positions ranging from conditional permissibility to precautionary restriction (*sadd al-dharī'ah*). The practical form of egg freezing shapes which *maqāṣid* principles are foregrounded, these principles determine the conditions for permissibility, and these conditions in turn account for the divergence in institutional rulings, most visibly in unresolved cases such as post-divorce or posthumous use of frozen oocytes. This framework structures the analysis that follows, beginning with the medical and ethical foundations of egg freezing, proceeding to the more contested case of social egg freezing, and culminating in a synthesis within the *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah* framework that derives graduated governance implications. Guided by this framework, this article addresses the identified gap through a qualitative, library-based analysis of contemporary Islamic jurisprudential debates on egg freezing, drawing on scholarly analyses of classical legal theory and institutional fatwas, and Muslim bioethicists' contributions across regional traditions. Three questions guide the analysis: (1) how *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah* offers a normative framework for evaluating reproductive technologies; (2) under what conditions egg freezing may be permissible under Islamic law; and (3) how concerns over lineage, marital continuity, and reproductive ethics differentiate scholarly opinion on medical versus social egg freezing. This analysis suggests a general tendency toward conditional permissibility for medically indicated procedures within a valid marriage, alongside significant unresolved debate over social egg freezing and post-marital use of frozen gametes. This points to the need for a more harmonized Islamic legal governance framework responsive to twenty-first-century reproductive medicine.

2. METHODS

This study employs a qualitative, library-based design combining comparative legal analysis and content analysis to examine contemporary Islamic jurisprudential debates on egg freezing (oocyte cryopreservation). Comparative legal analysis examines how juristic institutions across Indonesia, Malaysia, Singapore, and the broader Muslim world interpret the permissibility of egg freezing, integrating institutional positions that prior literature has not yet examined together within a single *maqāṣid*-based analytical framework. Content analysis examines the legal reasoning embedded in peer-reviewed literature on classical jurisprudential positions regarding lineage, marriage, and reproductive intervention, alongside scholarly discussions of fatwas issued by the IIFA, MUI, Malaysia's National Fatwa Committee, and MUIS. Sources were identified through Scopus and Google Scholar using keywords such as “egg freezing,” “oocyte cryopreservation,” “*maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*,” and “reproductive fiqh.” The primary corpus comprised peer-reviewed literature engaging Islamic legal reasoning on egg freezing, including classical *maqāṣid* theory, institutional fatwas, and contemporary fiqh scholarship, prioritizing publications from the past decade while including classical and foundational theoretical works without temporal restriction. This corpus was supplemented by clinical, bioethical, and sociological literature on oocyte cryopreservation, incorporated to contextualize the medical rationale, psychological dimensions, and structural socio-economic factors informing the Islamic legal analysis. Data were analyzed thematically in two stages: deductive coding using categories drawn from the *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*

framework (ḥifẓ al-nasl, ḥifẓ al-nafs, karāmah al-insān), followed by inductive coding to capture recurring arguments not anticipated by these categories, including the medical–social distinction and institutional variation in permissibility rulings. Table 1 summarizes this procedure.

Table 1. Thematic Analysis Framework

Stage	Focus
Deductive	Ḥifẓ al-nasl, ḥifẓ al-nafs, karāmah al-insān
Inductive	Medical vs. social distinction; post-marital use; institutional convergence/divergence
Synthesis	Normative compatibility assessment integrating both coding stages

Source: summarized by authors

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Medical and Ethical Foundations of Egg Freezing Under the Islamic Bioethics

Oocyte cryopreservation has emerged as one of the most significant advances in contemporary reproductive medicine, offering a clinically viable option for preserving fertility in women whose reproductive capacity is threatened by disease or medical treatment, and reflecting broader developments that have made fertility preservation safer and more ethically deliberated than at any prior point in medical history (Mutmainnah & Hasim, 2024), a shift evident more broadly in clinical and ethical perspectives on oocyte cryopreservation (Hamdy, 2025). Within the Islamic bioethical tradition, which integrates empirical medical knowledge with a normative moral vision grounded in the objectives of the sharī'ah, egg freezing raises fundamental questions about bodily integrity, the preservation of life, and the moral responsibilities attendant upon reproductive decision-making (Chin & Saifuddeen, 2022). The clinical transition from slow-freezing to vitrification has been transformative, substantially improving oocyte viability and yielding survival, fertilization, and pregnancy rates comparable to those of fresh oocytes (Cascante et al., 2022). This advancement has made egg freezing a standard component of reproductive healthcare for women undergoing gonadotoxic therapies such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy, as well as those with autoimmune conditions or genetic disorders that compromise ovarian reserve, making it, in such cases, not merely a reproductive option but a medically indicated procedure protecting a critical physiological function against foreseeable, irreversible harm. These medical realities align directly with the Islamic principle of harm prevention (raf' al-ḍarar), which authorizes interventions protecting individuals against foreseeable injury; classical jurisprudence consistently recognizes the permissibility, and at times obligation, of medical treatment that preserves life or bodily function (Nur et al., 2022). Medically indicated egg freezing is therefore compatible with the maqāṣid objective of preserving life (ḥifẓ al-nafs), safeguarding not only immediate physical health but future reproductive potential, reflecting the Islamic understanding of the body as a divine trust (amānah) requiring responsible stewardship (Chin & Saifuddeen, 2022). Beyond the clinical dimension, egg freezing raises questions of autonomy, informed consent, and psychological well-being. Islamic ethics does not reject autonomy but situates it within a relational framework in which reproductive decisions are assessed by intention (niyyah) and accountability to family and community, not personal preference alone. Given the procedure's invasiveness, prolonged storage requirements, and uncertain future use, practitioners bear a moral obligation grounded in the Quranic imperatives of truthfulness (ṣidq) and the prohibition of deception (ghish) to provide transparent, realistic counseling on success rates, risks, and long-term consequences (Nur et al., 2022), particularly given evidence that women's knowledge and beliefs about oocyte cryopreservation for both medical and social reasons often remain limited (Akhondi et al., 2023). This matters psychologically as well: infertility carries significant emotional distress, and egg freezing's capacity to offer reproductive hope and security is

consistent with Islam's integrative view of health, which treats physical and emotional well-being as inseparable. A recurring theological objection concerns interference with divine will, but the Islamic tradition holds that pursuing medical treatment fulfills the human duty to employ God-given knowledge in the service of life and health, not a denial of divine sovereignty (Nur et al., 2022). Taken together, medically indicated egg freezing is broadly compatible with the Islamic ethical obligations to preserve health, protect bodily integrity, and uphold human dignity, grounded not in individualistic preference but in well-established principles of Islamic medical ethics, even as other applications of the technology raise distinct concerns requiring separate analysis.

3.2 Islamic Legal Debates on Social Egg Freezing

While medically indicated egg freezing has attracted relatively broad juristic acceptance, social egg freezing, the elective preservation of oocytes for non-medical reasons such as career advancement, delayed marriage, or general future planning (Katsani et al., 2024), occupies a far more contested position within contemporary Islamic legal discourse. These debates reflect enduring jurisprudential commitments to lineage, the sanctity of marriage, and family stability, set against profound socio-economic transformations reshaping the timing and structure of marriage and reproduction across Muslim societies (Lahoti et al., 2023; Kynigopoulou et al., 2024). A foundational distinction concerns the difference between medical and social egg freezing. The former is grounded in imminent, foreseeable harm to reproductive capacity and is readily justified by the maxim *al-ḍarar yuzāl* (harm must be eliminated) and the doctrine of necessity (*ḍarūrah*); institutions including the IIFA and MUIS have affirmed its permissibility on this basis (Saniei & Kargar, 2021). Because the unfertilized oocyte, unlike the embryo, does not constitute nascent human life, its cryopreservation does not engage the ethical concerns of embryo manipulation, though future fertilization remains conditional on a valid, continuing marital contract (Ahmad et al., 2021; Chin & Saifuddeen, 2022). Social egg freezing, lacking this technical necessity, is evaluated differently. Conservative scholars worry that normalizing elective freezing may incentivize deferring marriage for lifestyle or professional reasons, undermining marriage as a moral and spiritual obligation; intention (*niyyah*) becomes decisive, as freezing oocytes to indefinitely circumvent marriage may be ethically impermissible regardless of its outward form (Chin & Saifuddeen, 2022). Scholars also caution that framing egg freezing as a reliable safeguard against age-related decline can generate false reproductive confidence and discourage timely family formation (Yaakob, 2025). A contrasting body of scholarship instead argues that delayed marriage among many Muslim women is often a structural consequence of economic instability, extended education, and labor-market conditions rather than personal preference, a pattern of structurally motivated egg freezing also documented empirically in Muslim-majority contexts such as Turkey (Kılıç & Göçmen, 2018). Invoking *maṣlaḥah*, these scholars contend that preventing future infertility constitutes a genuine, legally cognizable benefit (Arif et al., 2024), and that it is the application of the technology, not the technology itself, that determines its legal character. The most consequential dimension of this debate is lineage (*ḥifẓ al-nasl*). Although the oocyte itself remains unfertilized and therefore poses no inherent risk to *nasab*, the deferred timing of future fertilization creates real complications when frozen oocytes might be used after divorce, during *ʿiddah*, or following a husband's death. Juristic consensus here is largely uniform: fertilization must occur within a valid, subsisting marriage, and conception through frozen gametes after marital dissolution is impermissible, since any resulting child would face serious difficulties establishing legitimate paternal lineage (Rizkiyana, 2023). Fatwa councils across Malaysia, Indonesia, and the Middle East consistently prohibit gamete or embryo use following spousal death on these grounds (Susilo et al., 2025), treating reproductive medicine not as a service available to any individual but as an extension of the marital bond (*nikāḥ*) that must remain intact at the point of fertilization. More progressive voices propose a refined distinction: preserving eggs for

social reasons does not itself violate lineage or marital norms. It is their unauthorized use outside a valid marriage that triggers prohibition. On this view, the technology is analytically separable from its application, and juristic discourse should focus on regulatory frameworks ensuring lawful fertilization rather than blanket restriction, particularly given the structural realities shaping many Muslim women's reproductive timelines. What both the cautious and the more permissive positions share, ultimately, is agreement that egg freezing, whatever its motivation, must remain governed by lineage protection, marital continuity, and the broader ethical goals of the sharī'ah.

3.3 Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah Framework for Contemporary Ijtihād on Egg Freezing

The preceding analysis of medical and social egg freezing reflects deeper normative questions about the relationship between Islamic law, biomedical innovation, and human well-being, questions that the maqāṣid al-sharī'ah framework is best positioned to resolve. Rooted in the traditions of al-Shāṭibī and Ibn Āshūr, the framework holds that the sharī'ah's overarching purpose is human well-being through the protection of five essential values: religion, life, lineage, intellect, and property, with contemporary scholars extending it to include human dignity (karāmah) to capture the ethical dimensions of modern biomedical challenges (Padela, 2022). Three of these objectives are directly implicated in egg freezing. Preserving lineage (ḥifẓ al-nasl) is the most consequential. Because the oocyte remains unfertilized, cryopreservation itself carries no inherent risk of nasab ambiguity; the threat arises only from unauthorized use of frozen gametes outside a valid marriage. Maqāṣid reasoning therefore directs attention not toward prohibiting egg freezing as such, but toward regulatory conditions confirming a valid, subsisting marital contract before fertilization and prohibiting posthumous or post-divorce use, consistent with the broader principle of preserving genealogical order (niẓām al-nasab). Preserving life (ḥifẓ al-nafs) provides the clearest justification for medically indicated egg freezing, which protects a critical physiological function against foreseeable, irreversible harm, supported by the Quranic injunction against self-destruction (2:195) and by classical jurisprudence's recognition of bodily preservation as integral to preserving life (Padela, 2022). Preserving human dignity (karāmah al-insān) captures the psychological and relational stakes of fertility preservation: the emotional toll of anticipated infertility, and the corresponding obligation that egg freezing be offered with transparency, voluntariness, and informed consent rather than unrealistic promises or commercial exploitation (Corradi, 2021). Applying these objectives requires weighing maṣlaḥah against mafsadah. Benefits include preserved fertility, mitigated psychological suffering, and sustained family-formation possibilities for women constrained by structural socio-economic factors; risks include misuse of frozen oocytes after marital dissolution, commodification of reproductive capacity, false reproductive expectations, and lineage ambiguity from weak regulatory oversight (Forke & Siegers, 2022; Petersen, 2020). Where benefits are demonstrable and risks manageable through safeguards, egg freezing may be classified as mubāḥ or maḥmūd; where harms predominate or regulation is insufficient, makrūh or maḥẓūr is warranted (Chin & Saifuddeen, 2022; Rizkiyana, 2023). This graduated approach has clear governance implications: medical egg freezing within a valid marriage is broadly permissible; social egg freezing requires contextual evaluation contingent on demonstrable maṣlaḥah, absence of intent to circumvent marriage, and strict regulatory oversight; and use of frozen oocytes following divorce or spousal death remains prohibited on lineage and marital-continuity grounds, a position enjoying near-universal juristic consensus. Table 2 summarizes this classification across the three categories of egg freezing examined in this study. The maqāṣid al-sharī'ah framework thus offers more than a moral checklist; it is a methodological foundation for the adaptive, principled ijtihād that reproductive technologies demand (Aulia et al., 2025), enabling Islamic jurisprudence to engage the challenges of the twenty-first century without compromising its normative integrity (Fakhrurrazi et al., 2023).

Table 2. Islamic Legal Classification of Egg Freezing Practices
Based on Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah

Category	Maqāṣid Basis	Key Condition	Status
Medical egg freezing (within valid marriage)	Ḥifẓ al-naḥs; raf' al-ḍarar	Medical indication (e.g., gonadotoxic treatment); valid marital contract at fertilization	Mubāḥ / maḥmūd (broadly permissible)
Social egg freezing	Ḥifẓ al-naṣl; karāmah al-insān; maṣlaḥah–mafsadah balance	Absence of intent to circumvent marriage; demonstrable maṣlaḥah; regulatory oversight	Contested: mubāḥ under safeguards; makrūh where risks predominate
Post-divorce or post-mortem use of frozen oocytes	Ḥifẓ al-naṣl (lineage protection)	Marital contract must remain intact at fertilization	Maḥẓūr (prohibited); near-universal consensus

Source: Summarized by the authors.

4. CONCLUSION

This article has examined contemporary Islamic jurisprudential debates on egg freezing through a cross-institutional analysis of scholarly discourse on fatwas and classical fiqh positions from major juristic bodies, including the IIFA, MUI, MUIS, and the Malaysian National Fatwa Committee. The findings show that medically indicated egg freezing within a valid marital relationship commands broad juristic acceptance, grounded in the maqāṣid objectives of preserving life (ḥifẓ al-naḥs) and preventing harm, whereas social egg freezing remains contested between conservative scholars, who warn it may defer marriage, and contextually sensitive scholars, who invoke maṣlaḥah to argue for conditional permissibility. Post-divorce or post-mortem use of frozen oocytes, however, is rejected by near-universal consensus due to the risk of lineage ambiguity (ikhtilāf al-ansāb). These findings confirm that maqāṣid al-sharī'ah, applied through contextually grounded ijtihād, offers the most coherent normative basis for evaluating this technology, and they call for differentiated fatwas, sharī'ah-compliant clinical verification protocols, and continued interdisciplinary research bridging Islamic law, bioethics, and reproductive medicine. As a qualitative, library-based study relying on secondary literature and published fatwa texts rather than primary interviews, and concentrated within Southeast Asian and pan-Islamic institutional contexts, this analysis does not capture practitioners' or jurists' case-level reasoning, nor fatwa bodies beyond the institutions examined. Future research should therefore incorporate primary empirical engagement with fatwa committees and reproductive medicine practitioners, extend the comparative scope to institutions in other regions, and pursue interdisciplinary collaboration to advance coherent, sharī'ah-compliant regulatory frameworks for oocyte cryopreservation.

5. REFERENCES

- Ahmad, M. F., Nik Abdul Ghani, N. A. R., Abu, M. A., Abdul Karim, A. K., & Shafiee, M. N. (2021). Oncofertility in Islam: The Malaysian perspective. *Frontiers in Reproductive Health*, 3, 694990. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frph.2021.694990>
- Ahmed, I., Tirmazi, S. M. T., & Hussain, B. (2022). Infertility and use of modern reproductive technologies: Islamic perspective. *Pakistan Journal of Social Research*, 4(2), 733–743. <https://doi.org/10.52567/pjsr.v4i2.526>
- Akhondi, M. M., Ardakani, Z. B., Warmelink, J. C., Haghani, S., & Ranjbar, F. (2023). Knowledge and beliefs about oocyte cryopreservation for medical and social reasons in female students: A cross-sectional survey. *BMC Women's Health*, 23(1), 336. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-023-02481-2>
- Al-Bar, M. A., & Chamsi-Pasha, H. (2015). *Contemporary bioethics: Islamic perspective*. Springer International Publishing.

- Arif, A., Awalia, F. T. M., & Lutfiah, A. (2024). Freezing of single woman's egg cells according to Sadd al-Dzari'ah analysis. *AL-MANHAJ: Jurnal Hukum dan Pranata Sosial Islam*, 6(2), 483–494. <https://doi.org/10.37680/almanhaj.v6i2.5861>
- Auda, J. (2008). *Maqasid al-Shariah as philosophy of Islamic law: A systems approach*. International Institute of Islamic Thought.
- Aulia, R., Alfani, I. H. D., Haririe, M. R., Iswanto, D., & Hannah, N. (2025). Social egg freezing in Islamic law: Conditional permissibility through a maqāṣid al-sharī'ah and gender justice perspective. *Mawaddah: Jurnal Hukum Keluarga Islam*, 3(2), 546–578. <https://doi.org/10.52496/mjhki.v3i2.81>
- Bakence, L., Sultan, L., HL, R., & Ridwan, S. (2025). Maqāṣid, maṣlaḥa, and legal pluralism: Islamic law's governance of adolescent marriage after premarital pregnancy. *Journal of Modern Islamic Studies and Civilization*, 3(3), 357–375. <https://doi.org/10.59653/jmisc.v3i03.1922>
- Cascante, S. D., Blakemore, J. K., DeVore, S., & Noyes, N. (2022). Fifteen years of autologous oocyte thaw outcomes from a large university-based fertility center. *Fertility and Sterility*, 118(1), 156–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2022.04.013>
- Chamsi-Pasha, H., & Albar, M. A. (2015). Assisted reproductive technology: Islamic Sunni perspective. *Human Fertility*, 18(2), 107–112. <https://doi.org/10.3109/14647273.2014.997810>
- Chin, A. H. B., Muhsin, S. M., & Ahmad, M. F. (2023). Islamic perspectives on elective ovarian tissue freezing by single women for non-medical or social reasons. *Asian Bioethics Review*, 15(3), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41649-022-00236-z>
- Chin, A. H. B., & Saifuddeen, S. M. (2022). Is social egg freezing (oocyte cryopreservation) for single women permissible in Islam? A perspective from Singapore. *The New Bioethics*, 28(2), 116–126. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20502877.2022.2063576>
- Corradi, L. M. (2021). Assisted reproductive technologies and health-related issues among women and children: A research review. *Dignity: A Journal of Analysis of Exploitation and Violence*, 6(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.23860/dignity.2021.06.02.02>
- Dewi, N. P. K., & Raditya, I. B. Y. (2025). Status hukum embrio beku dalam perjanjian simpan: Tinjauan hukum perdata saat terjadi perceraian. *Jurnal Media Akademik (JMA)*, 3(10). <https://doi.org/10.62281/7y4d2x50>
- Fakhrurrazi, F., Wasilah, N., & Jaya, H. (2023). Islam and knowledge: Harmony between sciences and faith. *Journal of Modern Islamic Studies and Civilization*, 2(1), 45–57. <https://doi.org/10.59653/jmisc.v2i01.416>
- Forke, A., & Siegers, P. (2022). More benefit or harm? Moral contextualism shapes public attitudes towards social egg freezing. *Frontiers in Political Science*, 4, 995009. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpos.2022.995009>
- Hamdy, A. N. (2025). Cryopreservation of oocytes: Ethical and medical perspectives. *International Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics Sciences*, 7(1), 24–28. <https://doi.org/10.33545/26649004.2025.v7.i1a.36>
- Ibn Ashur, M. al-T. (2006). *Ibn Ashur: Treatise on Maqasid al-Shariah* (M. El-Tahir El-Mesawi, Trans.). International Institute of Islamic Thought.
- Inayatillah, R., Judiasih, S. D., & Permatahatii, M. (2025). Embryo frozen storage agreement according to civil law in Indonesia. *Jurnal Bina Mulia Hukum*, 9(2). <https://doi.org/10.23920/jbmh.v9i2.2268>
- Katsani, D., Paraschou, N., Panagouli, E., Tsarna, E., Sergeantanis, T. N., Vlahos, N., & Tsitsika, A. (2024). Social egg freezing—A trend or modern reality? *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 13(2), 390. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm13020390>
- Kılıç, A., & Göçmen, İ. (2018). Fate, morals and rational calculations: Freezing eggs for non-medical reasons in Turkey. *Social Science & Medicine*, 203, 19–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.03.014>
- Kynigopoulou, S., Matsas, A., Tsarna, E., Christopoulou, S., Panagopoulos, P., Bakas, P., & Christopoulos, P. (2024). Egg cryopreservation for social reasons: A literature review. *Healthcare*, 12(23), 2421. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12232421>
- Lahoti, U., Pajai, S., Shegekar, T., & Juganavar, A. (2023). Exploring the landscape of social egg freezing: Navigating medical advancements, ethical dilemmas, and societal impacts. *Cureus*, 15(10), e47956. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.47956>

- Mutmainnah, I., & Hasim, H. (2024). Navigating new beginnings: Ethical and legal considerations of egg freezing for Muslim women. *Jurnal Al-Dustur*, 7(1), 120–135. <https://doi.org/10.30863/aldustur.v7i1.4939>
- Nur, I., Laelatul'zah, U. N., & Marpuah, S. (2022). Reproductive fiqh: The phenomenon of egg freezing among Muslim societies. *Karsa: Jurnal Sosial dan Budaya Keislaman*, 30(2), 298–327. <https://doi.org/10.19105/karsa.v30i2.8626>
- Padela, A. (2022). Maqāṣidī models for an "Islamic" medical ethics: Problem-solving or confusing at the bedside? *American Journal of Islam and Society*, 39(1–2), 72–114. <https://doi.org/10.35632/ajis.v39i1-2.3069>
- Petersen, T. S. (2020). Arguments on thin ice: On non-medical egg freezing and individualisation arguments. *Journal of Medical Ethics*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2020-106059>
- Rizkiyana, F. S. (2023). Tinjauan maqashid syariah tentang pembekuan sel telur manusia (egg freezing). *Jurnal Riset Hukum Keluarga Islam*, 3(1), 29–34. <https://doi.org/10.29313/jrhki.vi.1938>
- Saniei, M., & Kargar, M. (2021). Modern assisted reproductive technologies and bioethics in the Islamic context. *Theology and Science*, 19(2), 146–154. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14746700.2021.1910914>
- Shafiqoh, H., Firdawaty, L., & Susilo, E. (2025). Egg freezing in Islamic law: A study on its relevance to the concept of the maṣlahah family in Muslim society. *Al-Risalah Jurnal Ilmu Syariah Dan Hukum*, 25(2), 420–435. <https://doi.org/10.24252/al-risalah.vi.57884>
- Susilo, E., Santoso, B., & Ambarwati, Y. E. (2025). Transformation of contemporary fiqh through a collective ijtihad approach in resolving lineage issues arising from egg freezing. *MILRev: Metro Islamic Law Review*, 4(2), 728–760. <https://doi.org/10.32332/milrev.v4i2.10403>
- Yaakob, H. (2025). Delaying motherhood: The ethics of social egg freezing. *Akademika*, 95(3), 19–33. <https://ejournal.ukm.my/akademika/article/view/77926/17934>